



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		• • • • • 8			± •
	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/932,503	08/17/2001	Tulin Morcol	37070/207071	6972
	23370 75	90 01/30/2006		EXAM	INER
	JOHN S. PRATT, ESQ			ZEMAN, ROBERT A	
	KILPATRICK STOCKTON, LLP 1100 PEACHTREE STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ATLANTA, GA 30309			1645	
				DATE MAILED: 01/30/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/932,503	MORCOL ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Robert A. Zeman	1645					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
Period for Reply	VIC OFT TO EVOIDE A MONTH!	S) OB THIRTY (20) DAVS					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE!	J. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 h	lovember 2005.						
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
	• •						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 12-21 is/are pending in the application)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 18-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
_	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement						
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	or ciccion requirement.	•					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Burea							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not receive	ea.					
Attachment(s)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 1645

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment and response filed on 11-3-2005 are acknowledged. Claims 1-11 have been canceled. Claims 19-21 have been added.

Newly submitted claims 19-21 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: the elected invention is drawn to methods of delivering therapeutic agents utilizing compositions comprising calcium phosphate particles wherein said agents are associated with the core of calcium phosphate particles and wherein said particles are at least partially covered with a layer of casein (Group III of the restriction requirement) whereas claims 19-21 are drawn to the compositions (Group I of the restriction requirement).

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 19-21 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Additionally, claim 18 was withdrawn from consideration since the claimed method utilized a different composition (i.e. particles with cores comprising calcium phosphate and polyethylene glycol). Consequently, claims 12-21 are pending. Claims 18-21 have been withdrawn from consideration. Claims 12-17 are currently under examination.

Claim Rejections Withdrawn

The rejection of claims 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Bell et al. (U.S. Patent 6,355,271) in view of Corrigan et al. (WO 99/03451)

Art Unit: 1645

is withdrawn. In the Declaration filed on 11-3-2005, Applicant has stated the subject matter disclosed and claimed by U.S. Patent 6,355,271 was owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person at the time the instantly claimed invention was made as the instant application. Accordingly, Applicant *makes a clear statement of entitlement* to exclude U.S. Patent Nos. 5,731,168-A, 5,807,706-A, and 5,821,333-A as prior art, as provided by 35 USC § 103(c).

New Grounds of Rejection

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nuwayser (U.S. Patent 5,648,097 – IDS) and Corrigan et al. (WO 99/03451).

Art Unit: 1645

The instant invention is drawn to a method of delivering a therapeutic amount of a therapeutic agent to a patient comprising orally delivery of one or more particles wherein said particles comprise a calcium phosphate core, a therapeutic agent associated with said core and a casein layer that at least partially covers said core.

Nuwayser discloses methods for adsorbing biologically active compounds to calcium phosphate particles wherein the resulting particles serve as controlled release drug delivery vehicles (see abstract, column 5 lines 16-36). Nuwayser further discloses that the biologically active agent or drug can be any drug or biologically active agents that can be released into an aqueous environment including peptide drugs, antibiotics anti-inflammatory agents, antivirals, etc. (see column 6, lines 5-23). Finally, Nuwayser discloses that the disclosed microparticles can be coated with a biodegradable and /or bioerodible compound in order to alter the delivery profile of the active ingredient (see column 4, lines 18-24).

Nuwayser differs from the claimed invention in that he does not explicitly disclose the use of casein as a coating substance, the use of polyethylene glycol as a surface modifying agent or the use of insulin as the biologically active agent.

Corrigan et al. disclose the use casein in pharmaceutical compositions to reduce the irritating effects of the active ingredient (therapeutic compound) [see page 5 lines 10-14] and to provide controlled release pharmaceutical compositions for oral administration (see page 6, lines 4-6). Corrigan et al. further disclose that casein can be used in conjunction with multiple formulation "forms" including granules (i.e. particles) [see page 7, lines 20-32].

Art Unit: 1645

Consequently, it would have been obvious for one of skill in the art to use the casein disclosed by Corrigan et al. in conjunction with the calcium phosphate particles disclosed by Nuwayser et al. in order to take advantage of the increased drug delivery associated with the use of casein and to provide controlled release pharmaceutical compositions for oral administration. Moreover, the skilled artisan would have been additionally motivated to combine the teachings of the aforementioned references in hopes of filling the need for alternative insulin delivery methodologies.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success since Corrigan et al. disclose that casein can be used with "granular formulations" and Nuwayser discloses that his particles can be coated with a biodegradable and /or bioerodible compound in order to alter the delivery profile of the active ingredient. Consequently, the combination of the cited references renders all the rejected claims obvious.

It should be noted that while Nuwayser does not explicitly disclose the use of insulin as the biologically active agent, its use is deemed to be an obvious variation of the particles disclosed by Nuwayser since he discloses that the biologically active agent or drug can be any drug or biologically active agents that can be released into an aqueous environment. Additionally, while Nuwayser does not explicitly disclose the use of polyethylene glycol as a surface-modifying agent its use is deemed obvious since Nuwayser discloses that the disclosed microparticles can be coated with a biodegradable and /or bioerodible compound in order to alter the degradation profile of the active ingredient (see column 4, lines 18-24).

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 1645

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 12 and 17 are rendered vague and indefinite by the recitation of the phrase "a layer of casein at least partially covering and forming a protective coating that encapsulates the core". It is unclear how a partial covering of casein can encapsulate a core when "encapsulation" by definition means to "encase".

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert A. Zeman whose telephone number is (571) 272-0866. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Thursday, 7am -5:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette Smith can be reached on (571) 272-0864. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/932,503

Art Unit: 1645

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ROBERT A. ZEMAN PATENT EXAMINER

January 26, 2006